Case 2:12-cv-03430-CDJ Document 1 Filed 06/18/12 Page 1 of 10

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	ou states in september 157 1, is req.			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
CANDACE RUSSE	LL	NCO FINANCIA			
•	of First Listed Plaintiff				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	iress) NOTE: IN LAN			
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888		Attorneys (If Known)	INVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF I Incorporated or Pr of Business In This	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citizen of Another State	2		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORTS		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability Liability □ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER □ 345 Marine Product □ 370 Other Fraud Liability □ 380 Motor Vehicle □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 2355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
V. ORIGIN (Place	□ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - □ 555 Prison Condition Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights			□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes Appeal to District	
又 1 Original □ 2 R	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another (speci	ferred from G 6 Multidistrer district Litigation	Indian fram	
THE CATION ON LOWE	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):	+	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	_	DOORETAINADED		
Explanation:	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE O	F ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
06/18/2012					

Case 2:12-cv-03430-CDJ Document 1 Filed 06/18/12 Page 2 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose assignment to appropriate calendar.							
Address of Plaintiff: 1939 MOHEDY., MELDOUS	rne FL 32935						
Address of Defendant: 501 PNO ential Rd.,	HOrsnam PA 19044						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	•						
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?						
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R,Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No □						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:							
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:						
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	\prec						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
action in this court?	Yes No						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier m	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
terminated action in this court?	Yes No						
4. To this ages a population proposalize helpog gamera, gasiel appreint among an airil sight	a anga filad by the same individual?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No						
	1 es — Noj-						
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts						
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury						
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation						
4, □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury						
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please						
	specify)						
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability						
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos						
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases						
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)						
11. X All other Federal Question Cases 15 V.S.C. 31692	`,						
(Please specify)							
ARBITRATION CERTI							
I, CONTATHOY FIMME, counsel of record do hereby certify	tegory} y:						
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the yest of my knowledge and b							
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;							
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	(1100						
DATE: UC 8 A	<u> </u>						
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	Attorney I.D.# re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to thy case now pending or vexcept as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court						
maledin	57100						
DATE: Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#						
1 titotiicy-ut-Lavy	· willy i.p.,						

CIV. 609 (6/08)

Case 2:12-cv-03430-CDJ Document 1 Filed 06/18/12 Page 3 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CANDACE RUSSELL,		:	CIVIL ACTION	
V.		:		
**		:		
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	MS, INC.,	:	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant sh	se Management e a copy on all de went that a defendant, with its first a Case Managen	Track Des efendants. endant doe appearance ment Track	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counignation Form in all civil cases at the to (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the is not agree with the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and serve Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ng said on the
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING C	ASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28	U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases reand Human Services deny	•		_	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases require	red to be design	ated for art	vitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for pe	rsonal inju	ry or property damage from	()
· ·	complex and tha	t need spec	cial or intense management by	
the court. (See reverse sigmanagement cases.)	ue of tins form f	or a detaile	ed expranation of special	()
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do no	t fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	()
	Craig Thor Kin Attorney-at-lav		Plaintiff, Candace Russell Attorney for	
<u>215-540-8888</u> Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 CANDACE RUSSELL, 4 Plaintiff Case No.: 5 v. COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JURY TRIAL 7 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) Defendant 8 **COMPLAINT** 9 CANDACE RUSSELL ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 11 12 ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 2. 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 Defendant conducts business, and has its principal corporate office located in the 3. 22 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. 23 Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 4. 24

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Melbourne, Florida 32935 at the time of the alleged harassment.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. The alleged debt at issue, a Sallie Mae student loan, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Throughout the month of April 2012, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on a repetitive and continuous basis in its attempts to collect a debt.
- 13. Plaintiff regularly received collection calls from the following telephone number: (614) 717-4395, which the undersigned has confirmed is a telephone number belonging to Defendant.
- 14. During the relevant period, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on a daily basis.

- 15. Defendant would call Plaintiff twice on her cellular telephone and twice on her home telephone, causing Plaintiff to regularly receive four (4) collection calls a day.
- 16. In addition to the quantity of telephone calls, Defendant also left Plaintiff voicemail messages on both her cellular and home telephones.
- 17. In its voicemail messages to Plaintiff, Defendant identified itself as a debt collector and instructed Plaintiff to call them back, providing a reference number to Plaintiff.
- 18. Additionally, in its attempts to collect a debt from Plaintiff, on April 24, 2012, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's daughter, who lives in another State, deceptively claiming that they were looking for Plaintiff.
- 19. Defendant identified itself to Plaintiff's daughter as a debt collection company, revealing to Plaintiff's daughter that Plaintiff owed a debt.
- 20. Further, in its conversation with Plaintiff's daughter, Defendant falsely stated that Plaintiff's daughter had a *legal obligation* to tell Defendant where Plaintiff was living.
- 21. At the time Defendant contacted Plaintiff's daughter, it possessed valid contact information for Plaintiff, as it had been calling her cellular and home telephones multiple times a day for almost a month.
- 22. Defendant did not have permission from Plaintiff to disclose information about her debt to Plaintiff's daughter.
- 23. Further, Defendant knew or should have known Plaintiff did not reside with her daughter.
- 24. Then, on the following day, April 25, 2012, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's friend, identifying itself as a debt collection company and deceptively claiming that they were looking for Plaintiff.

- 25. Again, at the time Defendant contacted Plaintiff's friend, it possessed valid contact information for Plaintiff, as it had been calling her cellular and home telephones multiple times a day for almost a month.
- 26. Further, Defendant did not have permission from Plaintiff to disclose information about the debt to her friend.
- 27. Lastly, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification of her rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification as well as informing her of the amount of the debt and the name of the creditor.
 - 28. To date, Defendant has not sent any written correspondence to Plaintiff.
- 29. As a result, Plaintiff has no knowledge of the amount of the debt which Defendant was seeking to collect.
- 30. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect this debt were harassing and abusive to Plaintiff.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT COUNT I

- 31. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating, in connection with the collection of a debt, with a any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector, without the prior consumer of the consumer given directly to the debt collector.

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA when it communicated, in connection with the collection of a debt, with a third parties, Plaintiff's daughter and friend, without having Plaintiff's express permission to do so.

COUNT II

- 32. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff multiple times a day and on numerous days a week on her home and cellular telephones, in addition to leaving multiple voicemail messages on Plaintiff's home and cellular telephones.

COUNT III

- 30. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

24

25

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by falsely claiming that Plaintiff's daughter had a legal obligation to provide Plaintiff's legal obligation, for the sole purpose of intimidating Plaintiff into making payments on the alleged debt.

COUNT IV

- 30. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a).
 - A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the a. consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed: (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send

Case 2:12-cv-03430-CDJ Document 1 Filed 06/18/12 Page 10 of 10

written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing her with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CANDACE RUSSELL, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, CANDACE RUSSELL, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATED:06/18/12

RESPECTIULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel
Attorney ID # 57100
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike

Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24